

RALEIGH AND HEIDEN PROPERTIES
Draft Final Environmental Impact Statement

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
INTRODUCTION	
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1-1
2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING, IMPACTS, MITIGATION	3.1-1
3.1 Geology, Soils and Topography	3.1-1
3.2 Surface Water Resources	3.2-1
3.3 Public Water Supply	3.3-1
3.4 Public Sewage Treatment	3.4-1
3.5 Electric and Gas Supply	3.5-1
3.6 Ecology and Wetland Resources	3.6-1
3.7 Land Use and Zoning	3.7-1
3.8 Traffic and Transportation	3.8-1
3.9 Visual Resources	3.9-1
3.10 Historic and Archaeological Resources	3.10-1
3.11 Community Facilities and Services	3.11-1
3.12 Fiscal Impact and Analysis	3.12-1
3.13 Construction Related Effects - Noise and Air Resources	3.13-1
4.0 ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS THAT CANNOT BE AVOIDED IF THE PROJECT IS IMPLEMENTED	4-1
5.0 ALTERNATIVES	5-1
6.0 IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENT OF RESOURCES	6-1
7.0 GROWTH INDUCING IMPACTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION	7-1
8.0 EFFECTS ON THE USE AND CONSERVATION OF ENERGY RESOURCES	8-1

FEIS APPENDICES

Appendix A	Public Hearing Transcript and Written Comments
Appendix B	Additional Water Supply Information
Appendix C	Additional Traffic Data
Appendix D	Seasonal Use Impacts

<i>FEIS Figures</i>		<u>End of Section</u>
Figure 2-1	Site Location Map	2.0
Figure 2-2	Proposed Site Plan	2.0
Figure 3.1-1	Local Topography	3.1
Figure 3.1-2	Slopes Map	3.1
Figure 3.1-3	Soils Map	3.1
Figure 3.2-1	Pleasure Lake Dam Inundation Area	3.2
Figure 3.2-2	Pleasure Lake Dam Inundation Area with Proposed Site Plan	3.2
Figure 3.3-1	Well Location map	3.3
Figure 3.4-1	Location of Proposed Wastewater Treatment Facility	3.4
Figure 3.7-1	Zoning Map	3.7
Figure 3.8-1	Regional Context	3.8
Figure 3.8-2	Local Area	3.8
Figure 3.11-1	Tax Parcels by School District	3.11
Figure 3.11-2	Pleasure Lake Dam Inundation Area with Proposed Site Plan	3.11
Figure 5.1	Conventional Subdivision Plan	5.0

Note: The Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Raleigh and Heiden Properties, Volumes 1 and 2, dated September 29, 2011, is included by reference in this Final Environmental Impact Statement.

FEIS FIGURES

Comment F-1. (Keystone Associates letter, December 8, 2011): The latest submission only included drawing sheets 2A, 4A, 1B-4B and 9-13, the following comments are based on our review of the drawings noted above:

- a) Sheet No. 2B/3B Missing top and invert for M.H. 6A-2-2.
- b) 3B Missing invert for M.H. 6A-6.
- c) 9/10 Missing profile from M.H. 16A-1 to M.H. 16A-1B.
- d) 11/12 Missing profile from M.H. 10 to M.H. 10A-3.
- e) 11/12 Missing profile from M.H. 12 to M.H. 12A-2.
- f) 11/12 Missing profile from M.H. 6A-2 to M.H. 6A-2-2.
- g) 12/13 Missing profile from M.H. 5A-2 to M.H. 5A-2A.
- h) 12/13 Missing profile from M.H. 6B-2 to M.H. 6B-2A3.

Response F-1:

- a) Top elev. 1244.0, inv. 1239.5', added to sheets 2B & 3B.
- b) Inv. elev. 1258.0, added to sheet 3B
- c) See sh. # 10, "MH # 16A-1 to 16A – 1B Profile"
- d) See sh. # 14, "MH # 10 to 10A-3 Profile"
- e) See sh. # 14, "MH # 12 to 12A-2 Profile"
- f) See sh. # 12, "MH # 6A-2 to 6A-2-2 Profile"
- g) See sh # 12, "MH # 5A – 2 to 5A – 2A Profile"
- h) See SH. #12, "MH # 6B-2 to 6B – 2A3 Profile"